

7-12-12

Va. Report:

NO ONE (except the - really to them?)

What did he say?

Maybe B Bunt is right, about
his being HST!

best Strasser, Byers, HST

"Don't do it," via Waller

Who said (now): "Offer Evidence, even if SU-SO as NOT TO USE BOMBS?"

They weren't against it, much
(unless Board. Made? - when or previously?)

Which one agreed / would have said that?

And if Frank & Szilard couldn't persuade
Oppie, Conant, Lawrence (Ellen, Alvarez...)

(Compton? (who knew of it, and SU was now)

How could they persuade HST, Byers, Strasser?

|| Hqs: ~~the~~ Use and use have & relevance
in NATO and US Atomic Energy
was passed from court of city banking
in Feb 42 or Mar 43.

(Was that passed? Public use.)

(Then drive for USAF, since 1920's. & previously...
(unintentional failure of US & Great Britain, & UK)

to PK:

See Makigane — PDS

May 1943: Japan as the Target for A-bomb,
not Hiroshima (even if Bomb really ~~destroyed~~
~~Hiroshima~~).

That's under Truman — and FDR (+ Marshall,
never opposed use of for using Bomb
Bomb

but never heard one person give an
argument for not using Bomb

Did that have any effect on those suggesting
not using Bomb?

Bomb C? The C? to Truman
first, give warning; offer... only one he heard?

|| Did anyone say: I don't use it unless you...
(Byrd was against offer; nearly all us. did, warning...)

Have many been advising Bomb as the reason
for doing or offer or warning of loss?

Have many been forced to?

Did anyone tell bst or Truman: don't use it?

(or give either, the French reasons?)

|| What reason was there to oppose it — while
supporting continued large fire-raids?

What difference was there, from 300-1000-plane
fire-raids?

Except for the Frank-Squirel reasons?
(~~from~~ SU, race, H, ~~being~~...)

which neither bst nor Strasser (?) heard
(bst: not from Strasser!)

(Strasser, on Bank

2) didn't act as if he didn't know
of fire-raids, as he indicated to Arnold;
he didn't give the reasons he gave Arnold
for not wanting to attack cities; (as bst
said - his doing - did bst really believe he
was attacking "a mil. target"? What
impressed him about H + N ("as 3rd bank - all these
kinds

What did he hear -

that he didn't hear before?

U3 Reaction to Herry story: they'd never heard
an account of Dresden, Hanau, Talsburg, 67 other
cities - which would have generated identical
stories (no diff in the ground from one bank
or thousands!) It was a daylight fire-raid,
at start (Hanau: 3 days. Dresden: 2 days + night)

No air-raid + night warning. So what?

A fire-raid is a fire-raid, whether or not
(see Talsburg, Dresden...)

Aug 2, 12 11:30

non-polo
w/ Rogers & Marshall (+ Acheson,
opposed terms
Mac Leach?)

OK — this is FDR.

if he had not
summed up
— on terms

There is no reason to think FDR
would not have ~~done~~ done what Baro or before.

(Yes, he might have ended war
sooner, with offer. But would he have
departed from USSR? (He's demand?)

He might have warned of SU entry.

(Would he?)

No indication he (or Churchill) was ready
to share Baro with SU! (He had plenty of
time to do it.)

Rejected Baro proposal.

(he should — would US have had greater luck
with hi? No reason to think so.)

& Baro was not (just) for detaining Germany,
with FDR (or Stimson, Clegg, Board; Marshall).

Leading circumstances doesn't make sense —
it's not as if we had not been previously
Dresden, Tokyo, etc. A-bomb did not introduce
that

There was no high-level discussion of whether
to use Baro, nor a (any) "reason" to do
it (or not do it.) That was an issue for
the lower ^{a "debate" or comparison} planning — which did at some time, either.
And if that was to continue in any case — what
issue was there? EXCEPT (big) for

2 Aug 12

Excerpt for

Soviet Bank (which had direct export, as
of 1946? 43? ("New" to office)

(as proof - among US embassies
(the only reason to distinguish A bank from fire-roads)

(Was there any other country to fear?)

NO! German - Japanese: occupied until

total allies. UK, France, other allies: NO.

China? not as of 1945... (any expectation

of a Comm China in 1945? 1946?

(Was about a Comm Bank, in 1948-48?

1949-50? (SU bank).

(Any reports about Hitler, as CW
emerged? after 1949-50, SU Bank? H?)

(Any reports about CW (Berlin 1948, division)
as CW found anatomy? (SU Bank) or
Nazi + CW?)

* which would also threaten US:

(as the Bank Korea / UK - threatened Prague,
Belgium - Warsaw (not, fear?)

and Shanghai, Chongqing... (Korea in China?)
1932...)

(the French ability of Korea, 1946: 6000?)

Major Hong: but
US figure

(Chained in GPO ed.)

people online
search

2 Aug 12 Sunday

[Korea: Q.M.]

US attitude to H&N — a myth
(not merely self-justification but
highly dangerous, in view of USG
containing war policy)

"Korea ended war — ~~more~~
months earlier — avoided an extreme
center: violence — ~~small~~ American
& Japanese lines"

As if Japanese repeated the
Nanking Massacre as having won the
war in China — or at least,
small (or) pop. lines.

— ? Dist. of

2 Aug 12

He was
right:

But he was
a problem solver,
like RAND, JCS

Did Yamamoto oppose attack on
US bases in Phils (as well as P.H.)?

Wd did Japanese take Phils?

If not, ~~but~~ would ROR have
done, to get US into war?

No declaration of war from Hith!
(ROR spoke of wanting Japanese to
strike first blow? Essential?)

Would we have defended UK, France,
Dutch colonies? (We did not, China).

("Rational man - a problem-solver
Scientist puzzle-solver
as "rational decision-maker" =
choosing hypotheses & only better
"understanding" (+ explaining)
↳ "maximizing"

SU BW - generalists

Scientists: exp - free research men

ECOS - strategic economy

MP - applied science, engineering
(not pure physics)

8-2-12

Did y of 65+ ~~radio~~ television perceive
radio sickness?

or genetic damage? (Not much fallout?
(How much?) (and bursts)

Only diff. from prebarring (except above):
obvious to BS & would public:
(willfully blind bombing) city target.
Controversial?

(Well, some opposition: Mormon, Cath...
but how much better they opposed
bombing city bombing.

Yes, US cities became vulnerable:
but when?

(To suitcase bombs, cargo containers,
ships — but when? from who?)

How much controversy to seeing SU as
an enemy, an adversary?

(Am ally! despite Stalin's rejection
(much helped!)

whereas Germany, Japan...

(now "us")

see Berlin, 1948!
(after winter of 45-46!)
merciless

8-2-12

USAF was against using Bomb —
it hurt prospects of a 60-group USAF!

How and that plan survive HAN?
esp. before SU was fought?
(1945-47?)

USN organic: it is USAF, not USN,
in the war.

(Any not organic — Marshall.
(Who was C of S Any?)

But no was organic continued fighting:
with or without offer or warning to
Japanese.